

CEDERBERG MUNICIPALITY

Risk Management Risk Appetite Framework

Document review and approval

Revision history

Version	Author	Date reviewed
1	Chief Risk Officer: Madell Lihou	March 2016
2		
3		
4		
5		

This document has been reviewed by

Version	Reviewer	Date reviewed	
1	Risk Management Committee		
2			
3			
4			
5			

This document has been approved by

Version	Approved By	Date Approved
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

Table of Contents

1	Ba	ackground4		
	1.1.	Wh	y risk appetite framework	. 4
	1.2.	Defi	inition of risk appetite	.4
	1.3.	Ben	efits of a risk appetite framework	. 4
	1.4.	Obj	ectives of a risk appetite framework	. 5
	1.5.	Cha	racteristics of a risk appetite framework	. 5
2	Me	ethodo	logy	.6
	2.1	Crit	eria	.6
	2.2	Stak	keholder engagement	. 6
	2.3	Dev	relopment of the risk appetite	. 6
	2.4	App	prove	.7
2.5 Implement		lement	.7	
	2.6	Rep	orting	.7
	2.7	Rev	iew	.7
3	Ro	oles and	d responsibilities	. 8
	3.1	Imp	lementers	. 8
	3.1	1.1	The Accounting Officer (Municipal Manager)	. 8
	3.1	1.2	Management	. 8
	3.2	Risk	Management Support	.9
	3.2	2.1	Chief Risk Officer (CRO)	.9
	3.3	Risk	Management Oversight	.9
	3.3	3.1	Council	.9
	3.3	3.2	Risk Management Committee (RMC)	10
	3.4	Risk	Management Assurance Providers	10
	3.4	4.1	Internal Audit	10
4	Co	onclusi	on	12
5	C1	0000		12

1 Background

1.1. Why risk appetite framework

The development and establishment of an effective Risk Appetite Framework is an iterative and evolutionary process that requires ongoing dialogue throughout the municipality and to attain buy-in across the municipality. The framework sets the municipality's risk profile and forms part of the process of development and implementation of the municipality's strategy and determination of the risks undertaken in relation to the municipality's risk capacity.

An effective framework should provide a common framework and comparable measures across the municipality for senior management and Council to communicate, understand, and assess the types and level of risk that they are willing to accept. It explicitly defines the boundaries within which management is expected to operate when pursuing the municipality's strategy.

The risk appetite framework facilitates the determination, review and oversight of risk appetite. It acts as a key bridge between the municipality's strategy and its risk management framework. The risk appetite should be updated in line with changes to the strategy of the organisation (and vice versa, as neither the strategy nor the risk appetite should be developed in isolation from the other but rather as part of a unified process) and should also evolve in line with the development of its risk management framework.

The assessment of the municipality's consolidated risk profile against its risk appetite should also be an ongoing and iterative process. Implementing an effective framework requires an appropriate combination of policies, processes, controls, systems and procedures to accomplish a set of objectives.

1.2. Definition of risk appetite

The Treadway Commission COSO Enterprise Risk Management – Risk Appetite Framework, states the following-

"The amount of risk, on a broad level, an entity is willing to accept in pursuit of value. It reflects the entity's risk management philosophy, and in turn influences the entity's culture and operating style. ... Risk appetite guides resource allocation. ... Risk appetite [assists the organization] in aligning the organization, people, and processes in [designing the] infrastructure necessary to effectively respond to and monitor risks".

1.3. Benefits of a risk appetite framework

According to *COSO* the following benefits flow from an effective risk appetite framework:

- it is strategic and is related to the pursuit of organizational objectives;
- forms an integral part of corporate governance;
- guides the allocation of resources;
- guides the municipality's infrastructure, supporting its activities related to recognizing, assessing, responding to, and monitoring risks in pursuit of organizational objectives;
- influences the municipality's attitudes towards risk;
- is multi-dimensional, including when applied to the pursuit of value in the short term and the longer term of the strategic planning cycle; and
- requires effective monitoring of the risk itself and of the municipality's continuing risk appetite; and
- enhanced risk management strategy decisions through quantification of risk appetite.

2021/04/07

1.4. Objectives of a risk appetite framework

The objective of a framework is to help management make informed decisions and includes:

- establish a process for communicating the Risk Appetite Framework across and within the municipality;
- be driven by both top-down and bottom-up involvement of management at all levels, and embedded and understood across the municipality;
- facilitate embedding risk appetite into the municipality's risk culture;
- evaluate opportunities for appropriate risk taking and act as a defence against excessive risk-taking;
- allow for the risk appetite statement to be used as a tool to promote robust discussions on risk and as a basis upon which risk management and internal audit functions can effectively and credibly debate and challenge management recommendations and decisions;
- be adaptable to changing business and market conditions so that, subject to approval by senior management and Council as appropriate, opportunities that require an increase in the risk limit could be met while remaining within the agreed municipal wide risk appetite;
- cover activities, operations and systems of the municipality that fall within its risk landscape but are outside its direct control, including suppliers; and
- be consistent with the principles in this document.

1.5. Characteristics of a risk appetite framework

A well-defined risk appetite should have the following characteristics:

- Reflective of strategy including organisational objectives, business and stakeholder expectations;
- Reflective of all key aspects of the business;
- Documented as a formal risk appetite statement;
- Acknowledges a willingness and capacity to take on risk;
- Considers the skills, resources and technology required to manage and monitor risk exposures in the context of risk appetite; and
- Has been approved by Council.

2 Methodology

Risk management is a process, not an event and requires the municipality to pay closer attention to the developments both in the external and control environments. Top management's strategic direction and commitment are also regarded as very important, if risk management processes are to be successful and effective.

Management is expected to lead the process and ensure that everybody within the municipality understands the benefits of risk management. This represents the challenge to management to set the tone or to establish a supportive internal environment.

Involvement of all personnel and at all levels of management ensures that risk management activities are applied consistently across all levels within the municipality. Again, the philosophy that everybody is a risk manager, ensures that everybody is involved in risk management process.

Implementation of risk appetite can take place via the following two approaches:

- it can be developed from the top down (in which case risk appetite is set by the Council and then implemented across the municipality); or
- from the bottom up, which would typically involve individual departments determining their own appetites towards various types of risk and then aggregating these appetites throughout the organisation to arrive at an aggregated risk appetite for the entire municipality.

Ultimately, it will be a matter for Council to approve the final risk appetite regardless of whether a top down or bottom up approach is adopted.

The municipality will follow a top down approach and the methodology to be followed will be:

2.1 Criteria

Risk appetite should be evolved from and support the strategic planning and objectives of the municipality. The risk appetite framework helps articulate the risk to the municipality that could potentially impact on the achievement of the strategic goals (positively or negatively). The municipality should take into account:

- The municipality's core strategy;
- If the municipality has a zero tolerance approach regarding compliance, it should be clearly documented in policies and as such enforced;
- Before setting risk appetite, it helps to classify risk into different categories that the municipality is, or may be, exposed to in the pursuit of its objectives;
- It is important to have a holistic view of all the risks to which the municipality is exposed, including what approach it will take in managing them; and
- Capacity and maturity of the risk management function.

2.2 Stakeholder engagement

The municipality should engage with all stakeholders to ensure that both risk taking and control activities are aligned and that possible differences are identified at this stage. All stakeholders need to be at least considered when setting risk appetite.

2.3 Development of the risk appetite

The development of the risk appetite takes the following into account:

- Obtain all the risk registers for the municipality;
- Combine the risk registers into one global risk register;
- Sort the risk as per the global risk register from high to low;

- Determine from the stakeholders how much risk taking capacity the municipality is willing to take ie top 30 risk only;
- Once agreed on the number of the risk that the municipality is willing to take, this becomes the risk appetite; and
- Finally the municipality will need to formalise the results of the above process through the documentation of the municipality's risk appetite in a formal risk appetite statement.

2.4 Approve

The risk appetite statement should then be approved by Council prior to communicating the document to the wider municipality.

2.5 Implement

Once the risk appetite has been approved by Council, it should be:

- Clearly communicated and cascaded through the municipality:
- Integrated into the risk management framework; and
- Actively used in the strategic management of the municipality.

2.6 Reporting

Reporting on the risk appetite should take place both internally and externally. The internal reports will require reporting to management on a frequency basis and externally reporting via the annual report. Reporting can include the following:

- Compliance with approved risk appetite
- Trends in data over time
- Compliance (or non-compliance) with approved risk policies

The overall reporting process needs to be facilitated by a comprehensive governance framework in order to ensure that an appropriate escalation process is in place and that appropriate actions are taken in response to risk appetite breaches. It is important that these actions also include an effective feedback loop into the setting of the risk appetite so that the risk appetite framework can continue to be appropriate to the municipality.

2.7 Review

The Risk Appetite Statement should be reviewed annually, or whenever there is a significant change to the municipality's operating environment to ensure alignment with the ever evolving municipal strategy, risk environment and the municipal performance. An analysis could also be done taking into consideration of what worked well, what failed and what needs to be done differently next time.

3 Roles and responsibilities

The people responsible for risk appetite can be categorised into four distinct categories, namely implementers, support function, oversight and assurance providers.

3.1 Implementers

3.1.1 The Accounting Officer (Municipal Manager)

The Municipal Manager is ultimately responsible for risk management within the municipality. The Municipal Manager is accountable to the Council regarding the effectiveness of the risk management process. By setting the tone at the top, the Municipal Manager promotes accountability, integrity and other factors that create a positive control environment.

The roles of the Municipal Manager relating to the risk appetite include the following:

- establish an appropriate risk appetite for the municipality (in collaboration with the CRO) which is consistent with the municipality's short- and long term strategy, business and capital plans and risk capacity;
- be accountable, together with the CRO and managers for the integrity of the Risk Appetite Framework, including the timely identification and escalation of breaches in risk limits and of material risk exposures;
- ensure, in conjunction with the CRO, that the risk appetite is appropriately translated into risk limits for strategic and financial planning, decision-making processes and compensation decisions;
- ensure that the municipality's wide risk appetite statement is implemented by management;
- provide leadership in communicating risk appetite to internal and external stakeholders so as to help embed appropriate risk taking into the municipality's risk culture:
- set the proper tone and example by empowering and supporting the CRO in his/her responsibilities, and effectively incorporating risk appetite into the municipality's decision-making processes;
- ensure managers have appropriate processes in place to effectively identify, measure, monitor and report on the risk profile relative to established risk limits on a continual basis:
- dedicate sufficient resources and expertise to risk management, internal audit and IT infrastructure to help provide effective oversight of adherence to the framework;
- act in a timely manner to ensure effective management, and where necessary mitigation, of material risk exposures, in particular those that are close to or exceed the approved risk appetite statement and/or risk limits; and
- notifying RMC and the Council of serious breaches of risk limits and unexpected material risk exposures.

3.1.2 Management

Management at all levels within the municipality owns the risks, thus in taking that ownership they also accountable to the Municipal Manager for integrating the principles of risk management into their daily routines to enhance the achievement of their service delivery objectives.

In discharging their high level responsibilities relating to risk appetite, management:

• ensure alignment between the approved risk appetite and planning, compensation, and decision-making processes of the municipality;

- embed the risk appetite statement and risk limits into management's activities so as to embed prudent risk taking into the municipality's risk culture and day to day management of risk;
- establish and actively monitor adherence to approved risk limits;
- implement controls and processes to be able to effectively identify, monitor and report against allocated risk limits;
- act in a timely manner to ensure effective management, and where necessary, mitigation of material risk exposures, in particular those that exceed or have the potential to exceed the approved risk appetite and/or risk limits; and
- escalate promptly breaches in risk limits and material risk exposures to the CRO and senior management in a timely manner.

3.2 Risk Management Support

3.2.1 Chief Risk Officer (CRO)

Accountability for risk management in the municipality is assigned to the Accounting Officer (Municipal Manager) and is sub-delegated to the CRO to facilitate and coordinate the development and implementation of risk.

The CRO provides specialist expertise in providing a comprehensive support service to ensure systematic, uniform and effective enterprise risk management. The CRO plays a vital communication link between operational level, management, senior management, risk management committee and other relevant committees.

High level responsibilities to achieve this include:

- develop an appropriate risk appetite for the municipality that meets the needs of the municipality;
- obtain Council's approval of the developed risk appetite and regularly report to Council on the municipality's risk profile relative to risk appetite;
- actively monitor the municipality's risk profile relative to its risk appetite, strategy and risk capacity;
- establish a process for reporting on risk and on alignment (or otherwise) of risk appetite and risk profile with the municipality's risk culture;
- ensure the integrity of risk measurement techniques and information systems that are used to monitor the municipality's risk profile relative to its risk appetite;
- establish and approve appropriate risk limits for the municipality that are consistent with the municipality's risk appetite statement;
- independently monitor the municipality's risk limits aggregate risk profile to ensure they remain consistent with the municipality's risk appetite;
- act in a timely manner to ensure effective management, and where necessary mitigation, of material risk exposures, in particular those that are close to or exceed the approved risk appetite and/or risk limits; and
- escalate promptly to Council and the Accounting Officer any material risk limit breach that places the municipality at risk of exceeding its risk appetite, and in particular, of putting in danger the financial condition of the municipality.

3.3 Risk Management Oversight

3.3.1 Council

Council is responsible for overseeing the complete spectrum of governance within Cederberg Municipality. This responsibility would therefore also includes:

• approve the municipality's Risk Appetite Framework and ensure it remains consistent with the municipality's short- and long-term strategy, business and capital plans, risk capacity as well as compensation programs;

- hold the Accounting Officer and management accountable for the integrity of the framework, including the timely identification, management and escalation of breaches in risk limits and of material risk exposures;
- discuss and monitor to ensure appropriate action is taken regarding "breaches" in risk limits:
- question management regarding activities outside the Council-approved risk appetite statement, if any;
- obtain an independent assessment (through internal assessors, third parties or both) of the design and effectiveness of the framework and its alignment with supervisory expectations;
- satisfy itself that there are mechanisms in place to ensure management can act in a timely manner to effectively manage, and where necessary mitigate, material adverse risk exposures, in particular those that are close to or exceed the approved risk appetite statement or risk limits;
- ensure adequate resources and expertise are dedicated to risk management as well as internal audit in order to provide independent assurances to Council and management that they are operating within the approved framework, including the use of third parties to supplement existing resources where appropriate; and
- ensure risk management is supported by adequate and robust information system to enable identification, measurement, assessment and reporting of risk in a timely and accurate manner.

3.3.2 Risk Management Committee (RMC)

In discharging its oversight responsibilities relating to the risk appetite framework:

- ensure that the risk appetite framework is approved by the Council;
- evaluate the effectiveness of mitigating strategies implemented to address the material risks of the municipality (treatment action plans);
- ensure that the committee is informed of all changes to the risk management strategy, implementation plan, policy and framework;
- review and monitor the effectiveness of risk control systems, the reliability and accuracy of risk management reporting and fraud prevention plan;
- review any material findings and recommendations by assurance providers on the system of risk management and monitor that appropriate action is instituted to address the identified weaknesses; and
- provide guidance to the CRO and other relevant risk management stakeholders on how to manage risks within the risk appetite level;

3.4 Risk Management Assurance Providers

3.4.1 Internal Audit

Internal Audit is responsible for providing independent assurance on the effectiveness of risk management, controls and governance processes, as designed and represented by management, are adequate and function in a manner to ensure that amongst other things risks are appropriately identified and managed, based on the scope of their coverage plan.

Responsibilities of Internal Audit in the risk appetite process include:

- routinely include assessments of the Risk Appetite Framework on a municipal basis;
- identify whether breaches in risk limits are being appropriately identified, escalated and reported, and report on the implementation of the framework to the Audit Committee and Council as appropriate;
- independently assess periodically the design and effectiveness of the framework and its alignment with management expectations;

- assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the framework, including linkage to organisational culture, as well as strategic and business planning, compensation, and decision-making processes;
- assess the design and effectiveness of risk measurement techniques and information systems used to monitor the municipality's risk profile in relation to its risk appetite;
- report any material deficiencies in the risk appetite framework and on alignment of risk appetite and risk profile with risk culture to Council, Audit Committee and management in a timely manner; and
- evaluate the need to supplement its own independent assessment with expertise from third parties to provide a comprehensive independent view of the effectiveness of the risk appetite framework.

4 Conclusion

It is clear that the process of determining an appropriate risk appetite is a challenging one. Apart from the many practical challenges which must be overcome, ranging from achieving a consistent understanding of risk management terminology to the identification of the range of risks being borne, there are many technical aspects to be tackled as well. These include how to measure risks and how to set appetite. Risk appetite needs to become embedded into the municipality. It does not stand alone, but rather fits into the fabric of the risk management process. It requires support from key control functions such as Internal Audit, Compliance, and Risk Management in order to operate effectively. Above all though, it needs to achieve buy-in from all stakeholders.

Greater understanding of risk and the risks being faced by the municipality is a powerful tool for aligning stakeholder interests and ultimately giving the municipality the best chance of achieving its strategic goals and objectives.

5 Glossary

Terminology	Definition of terminology
Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)	Entity Risk Management is a structured and consistent approach across the municipality that aligns strategy, processes, people, technology and knowledge with the purpose of evaluating and managing the risks (threats and opportunities) to create stakeholder value.
Process	Structured set of activities within an entity, designed to produce a specified output.
Risk	Risks are uncertain future events (threats and opportunities) that could influence the achievement of the goals and objectives of the municipality.
Risk Assurance	The Risk Assurance functions are that of Internal and External Audit (Auditor General) and it is in their scope of work to provide assurance opinions.
Risk Appetite Framework (RAF)	The overall approach, including policies, processes, controls, and systems through which risk appetite is established, communicated, and monitored. It includes a risk appetite statement, risk limits, and an outline of the roles and responsibilities of those overseeing the implementation and monitoring of the RAF. The RAF should consider material risks to the financial institution, as well as to the institution's reputation vis-à-vis policyholders, depositors, investors and customers. The RAF aligns with the institution's strategy.
Risk Appetite Statement	The articulation in written form of the aggregate level and types of risk that a municipality is willing to accept, or to avoid, in order to achieve its business objectives. It includes qualitative statements as well as quantitative measures expressed relative to risk measures, and other relevant measures as appropriate. It should also address more difficult to quantify risks such as reputation and conduct risks as well as unethical practices.
Risk Capacity	The maximum level of risk the municipality can assume given its current level of resources, the operational environment (e.g.technical infrastructure, risk management capabilities, expertise) and obligations, also from a conduct perspective, to all stakeholders.
Risk Limits	Quantitative measures based on forward looking assumptions that allocate the municipality's aggregate risk appetite statement (e.g. measure of loss or negative events) to business lines, legal entities as relevant, specific risk categories, concentrations, and as appropriate, other levels.
Risk Management	Risk management is a systematic approach to setting the best course of action under uncertainty by identifying, assessing, understanding, acting on and communicating risk issues and opportunities.
Risk Policy	Serves as a foundation for the municipality's ERM activities, as it encapsulates management's philosophy and approach to risk management.
Risk Profile	Identification and listing of risks, typically in order of highest to lowest based on a qualitative or quantitative measurement approved by management.
Risk Ratings	The analysis of risks identified in terms of impact and likelihood to obtain an inherent risk rating. The final rating assessment relates to control confidence and offset against the inherent risk assessment leaves the residual risk assessment exposure rating.
Risk Strategy	The approach adopted for associating and managing risks based on the municipality's objectives, strategies and programmes.
Risk Supporter	The support structure is the back-bone to the success of risk management in the organization e.g. National Treasury provides structures in which to work, but the work needs to be planned, coordinated, organized and controlled.
Risk Management Committee (RMC)	The Risk Management Committee of the municipality that provides oversight to the ERM environment.